COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

COURT OF APPEALS 2022 FEB 28 PM 1:53 STATE OF WASHINGTON STATE OF WASHINGTON 55866-1- II Respondent, STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL **GROUNDS FOR REVIEW** Appellant. ____, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits. Additional Ground 1 On 10-20-2020 Attorney Morcisson filed "Defendants Docleration of Compliance". I had explained several times with Attorney Morrisson that I was using self-like against me As was the one who was Assutted. I explained ses to this Defense and that I had went to the Emelyency have Supporting downerts. She chose not to Goperal Depile which makes her ineffective and S - Defense and Chose General Malgractice lawsuit and shows Deliberate Indifference to my case. Dermission of Knowledge Comibus Document included Additional Ground 2 med Attorney Magisson that the Defendants Criminal History was incorrect Centencing. She did not investigate. Ineffective assistance of Counsel. If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Signature: Machael In Woods

Form 23

Date: 1-25-22

V

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

20 – 1 – 00435 – 08 CSCRIM 22 Cover Sheet for Criminal History 9902516 FILED SUPERIOR COURT

21 MAR -9 P4:23

COWLITZ CO. CLERK STACT TEXTEBUST

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR COWLITZ COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL FRANK WOODS,

Defendant.

No. 20-1-00435-08

AMENDED PROSECUTOR'S STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY

Crime	Sentencing Date	Adult / Juv.	Date of Crime	Jurisdiction	Cause Number
ESCAPE 1 (JRA)	12-14-1983	J	10-13-1983	CLARK CO., WA	
VUCSA - POSS (N/A; State v. Blake)	03-01-1989	A	12-31-1988	CLARK CO., WA	89-1-00017-2
VUCSA - POSS (N/A; State y. Blake)	08-25-1988	A	04-26-1988	CLARK CO., WA	88-1-00756-0
ESCAPE 2 (13 MO PRISON)	12-06-1990	A	04-26-1990	COWLITZ CO., WA	90-1-00279-6
VUCSA - POSS (N/A; State v. Blake)	07-28-1994	A	05-26-1994	CLARK CO., WA	94-1-00655-0
POSS STOL PROP 2	12-23-1994) _A (01-19-1995	CLARK CO., WA	94-1-01693-4
POSS STOL PROP 2	10-18-1995	A	02-21-1995	CLARK CO., WA	95-1-01746-1

STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY - 1



Cowlitz County Prosecuting Attorney
312 SW 1St Ave
Kelso, WA 98626
Telephone (360) 577-3080

THEFT 1 (20 MO PRISON)	01-22-1998	A	04-03-1997	CLARK CO., WA	97-1-01086-1
VUCSA - POSS (N/A; State v_Blake)	01-07-2002	A	09-26-2001	CLATSOP CO., OR	011244
INTIMIDATE WITNESS/THREATENED FORCE(4 YEARS PRISON)(PAROLED 09/22/2005)	12-02-2002	A	08-28-2002	DOUGLAS CO., WI	2002CF000204
VUCSA - DEL METH (45 MO PRISON BASED DOSA) (45 MO COMM CUSTODY)(REL PRISON 03/10/09)	08-08-2006	A	03-21-2006	COWLITZ CO., WA	06-1-00556-0
VUCSA - DEL METH	12-13-2011	A	05-25-2011	COWLITZ CO., WA	11-1-01026-8
VUCSA - DEL METH	12-13-2011	A	06-03-2011	COWLITZ CO., WA	11-1-01026-8
VUCSA - DEL METH (60 MO PRISON) (REL PRISON 09/12/14) (REL WORK REL 02/10/2015) (VNCO 9/10/19 Kelso Muni Ct. #9Z0891173)	12-13-2011	A	06-23-2011	COWLITZ CO., WA	11-1-01026-8

PENDING: COWLITZ COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CAUSE NUMBER
19-1-01316-08 ASSAULT 2 DV

PRIOR DV HISTORY - INFORMATIONAL ONLY

05/17/1999, VIOLATION OF A NO CONTACT ORDER - DV, 259875, CLARK CO., CCSO

09/28/2001, ASSAULT 4 - DV, C00105423, CITY OF LONGVIEW, COWLITZ CO.

*Prior convictions counted as one offense in determining the offender score. RCW 9.94A.525(5)(a)(i).

DATE: 03/09/2021

SIGNED: Sean Brittain/ WSBA #36804
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

26 STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY - 2

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR COWLITZ COUNTY

NO. <u>20-1-00435-08</u>

STATE OF WASHINGTON

OMNIBUS ORDER

Plaintiff,	NO. <u>20-1-00435-08</u>
V.	OMNIBUS ORDER
MICHAEL FRANK WOODS,	
Defendant.	
1. <u>DISCOVERY STIPULATION</u> : The State, represent attorney, and the defendant, represented by the uncomplied with, and will continue to comply with, the consistent with the requirements of CrR 4.7. 2. <u>THE DEFENDANT GIVES THE FOLLOWING NOTAL</u> a. The defendant will assert the following defense [] Insanity [] Diminished Capacity [] Intoxication [] Entrapment [] Others: b. [] The defendant stipulates to the following part attentions of the property of the state of the following part attentions.	dersigned attorney, except for any disputed #5, hereby stipulate that both parties have e discovery checklists set forth in CrR 4.5 OTICE: ses at trial: of Others
3. THE PROSECUTION GIVES THE FOLLOWING N [X] The State has filed and served "Prosecutor's State of Interest of Int	tement of Criminal History." btions to impeach the defendant pursuant to State will provide notice of specific acts by:

[] Child hearsay (RCW 9A.44.120) exists. The State intends to offer at trial statements of
[] An informant was involved and [] will/[] will not be a witness at trial. [] State invokes informant privilege. [] Name of Informant:
 Each party will provide the other party with a formal witness list, and file a copy with the court, no later than 14 days prior to trial.
5. Motions brought under CrR 3.6 and CrR 8.3 (b) or (c), as well as memoranda in support of the motion, must be filed, served, and noted for hearing no later than 14 business days prior to trial. Any response is to the motion is due five days following service of the motion and supporting memorandum.
6. DISPUTED ITEMS, MOTIONS, AND HEARINGS REQUESTED: [x] CrR 3.5
7. The trial in this matter should last about _2_ days.
8. [] This matter requires interpreters. The attorney for the defendant must advise court administration no later than two weeks prior to trial of the need for interpreters at trial.
9. The attorney for the defendant and the deputy prosecuting attorney shall appear before the Court on the Thursday Criminal Calendar the week before trial.
10. Other Matters:
11. OMNIBUS COMPLIANCE DEADLINE: One week after entry of this order or Both parties shall complete discovery, including names, and all required information pertaining to witnesses (including conviction data for witnesses), by this deadline date.
12

13. I,	contents. I hereby	nt in this matter, do hereby certify under n that I have reviewed this omnibus order y consent to the court accepting this order resence based upon this certification.
Defendant		
qualified by the court to interpret in the defendant understands. I have translated	eated this documen	interpreter, or have been found otherwise language, which the nt for the defendant from English into that laws of the state of Washington that the
Signed at (city)	, (state)	, on (date)
Interpreter	Prin	nt Name
Attorney for Defendant WSBA #_55/47	Dep WS	outy Prosecuting Attorney BA #_ 3&&/
APPROVED this day of	, 2	20
Judge		

Transcript 1) Toul 1 Addotoonal Ground 3 Proge 49 in the toyal transcripts the Court says " I'm just toying to run through this Case as quickly As I can I I -- I have to 4 Say, just As a matter of course, That I don't - as old as this case is, I feel like a Lot of these these things are probably better off resolved from to the day of trial when a jury is softing and warting in the wings, just for future reference. My Attorneys, Ms. Morrisson and Mr. Maher have requested A Continuance to Argue see and gather more into on my set Defense 15542. Irval Transcript Page 57 Lines # A Requet to continue was are more worried about other trigl in the near future then the one Right Now where my freedom and liberty's are on the table with my Attorneys not being porepared. A mistrial Should have been Declared to

Pg 54 lines 17-25 Pg 55 lines 1-3. The other com and the Mr. Marison and I had a heated progresser in front of Atty Maker about Atty Mexisson not spending even 10 minutes
time with me prior to the start of this trial
to discuss any trial tactics the self Defense I
have Claimed from the very begining, I told Arry
Mississon I had doctor Emergency Room visit that
Showed I had been Assulted prior to my being
Assested for the FVNCO, Police had been to ER when I prived for treatment. I have claimed Self Defense from the start. Ms. Marisson had sever Shared the Discovery with me of even anything about my case at all except to call me ture to offer me a 60 month glea bargain, for my girlfriend beating me up. rights were violated by my ptformey not being prepared Stated twice- Move for Mistrial should have been requested.

Pg 56 lines 11-14 The Judge was clearly starting that
She was Making a time limit on the Voir dise
process in return making my Atty haveing to
hurry the process or gut her questions short
for the courts benefit of timeframe